MATEMATIČKI VESNIK MATEMATИЧКИ BECHИК Corrected proof Available online 01.07.2025

research paper оригинални научни рад DOI: 10.57016/MV-WdCO2702

FIXED POINTS OF A FAMILY OF GENERAL MAPPINGS

Ravindra K. Bisht, Arvind Bhatt and Radha

Abstract. In this paper, we establish new results on the existence, uniqueness, and convergence for a one-parameter family of mappings, where each member has a unique fixed point that is also the unique common fixed point of the entire family. These results apply to both contractive-type mappings and those that do not adhere to any contractive conditions. Our theorem includes, as special cases, the results of [B. D. Gel'man, *Caristi's inequality and* α -contraction mappings, Funct. Anal. Appl., **53** (2019), 224–228], [R. P. Pant, V. Rakočević, D. Gopal, A. Pant, M. Ram, A general fixed point theorem, Filomat, **35** (2021), 4061–4072], as well as the well-known fixed-point theorems of Banach, Kannan, Chatterjea, and Ćirić.

1. Introduction

The following Caristi or Caristi-Kirk fixed point theorem was proved in [3,4].

THEOREM 1.1. Let f be a self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose there exists a lower semi-continuous function $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for each $x \in X$

$$l(x, fx) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(fx).$$

Then f possesses a fixed point.

The Caristi fixed point theorem is fundamental in fixed point theory, influencing areas like convex minimization, variational inequalities, and control theory through Ekeland's approach [8]. For more details and generalizations, see [9] and the references therein.

In [9], Gel'man established several generalizations of Caristi's theorem in both single-valued and set-valued settings by augmenting the contraction condition with a function of two vector arguments, which is neither required to be a metric nor a continuous function. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Suppose $f : X \to X$ is a continuous mapping, and $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function. A mapping $f : X \to X$ is called an α -contraction (contraction with respect to a function α) if it is continuous

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 37C25

Keywords and phrases: Fixed points; Ćirić contraction; asymptotic continuity.

and there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\alpha(fx, fy) \leq k\alpha(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Not every α -contraction mapping necessarily has a fixed point [9].

THEOREM 1.2 ([9]). Let f be a continuous self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d). If there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\alpha(fx, fy) + cd(x, y) \le \alpha(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then for any initial point $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of successive approximations $x_{n+1} = fx_n$ converges to a point x^* , which is the unique fixed point of f. Furthermore, the following estimate holds:

$$d(x^*, x_0) \le \frac{\alpha(x_0, fx_0) - \gamma_0}{c},$$

where α is bounded from below and $\gamma_0 = \inf_{(x,y) \in X \times X} \alpha(x,y)$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \to X$ be a mapping. The orbit of a point $x_0 \in X$ under f is the set $O(f, x_0) = \{x_0, f(x_0), f^2(x_0), \dots, f^n(x_0), \dots\}$.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $f : X \to X$ is called: 1. orbitally continuous [7] if $\lim_{i\to\infty} f^{m_i}x = u$ for some $u \in X$ implies $\lim_{i\to\infty} f(f^{m_i}x) = f(u)$ for each $x \in X$;

2. weakly orbitally continuous [14] if the set $\{y \in X : \lim_{i \to \infty} f^{m_i}y = u$, for some $u \in X$ implies $\lim_{i \to \infty} f(f^{m_i}y) = f(u)\}$ is nonempty whenever the set $\{x \in X : \lim_{i \to \infty} f^{m_i}x = u\}$ is nonempty;

3. *k*-continuous [13], for k = 1, 2, 3, ..., if $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^k(x_n) = f(z)$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^{k-1}(x_n) = z$ for some $z \in X$;

4. asymptotically k-continuous or asymptotically continuous [15] if $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f(f^k(x_n)) = f(z)$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} (f^k(x_n)) = z$ for some $z \in X$.

For k > 1, the continuity conditions for f^k and the k-continuity of f are independent. While k-continuity of f ensures that f is asymptotically k-continuous, the converse does not hold [15]. A review by the first author [2] contrasts various weaker forms of continuity and their significance in fixed point theory.

The following theorem generalizes several well-known fixed point theorems and is due to Pant et al. [12] (see also [1] for the case of a pair of mappings).

THEOREM 1.4. Let f be a self-mapping of a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose $\varphi: X \to [0, \infty)$ is such that for all $x, y \in X$ we have

$$l(fx, fy) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(fx) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(fy).$$
(1)

If f is weakly orbitally continuous or f is orbitally continuous or f is k-continuous, then f has a unique fixed point.

REMARK 1.5. It is pertinent to mention that the condition (1) includes both contractive and non-contractive mappings [12]. In this paper, motivated by the work of Pant et al. [11], we establish fixed point theorems for a one-parameter family of self-mappings, extending the work of Gel'man [9] and Pant et al. [12], who studied various classes of single self-mappings in the context of a complete metric space. Each member of this family has a unique fixed point, which is also the unique common fixed point of the entire family. Our main theorem yields several well-known fixed point results for families of mappings as corollaries.

2. Main results

We begin this section with the following result.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\{f_r : 0 \le r \le 1\}$ be a family of self-mappings on a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose there exists a constant c > 0 and a function $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ that is bounded from below, with $\gamma_0 = \inf_{(x,y) \in X \times X} \alpha(x, y)$, such that

$$\alpha(f_r x, f_r y) + cd(x, y) \le \alpha(x, y), \tag{2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If each f_r is k-asymptotically continuous for some integer $k \ge 1$, or if each f_r is weakly orbitally continuous, then each f_r has a unique fixed point. Moreover, if every pair of mappings (f_r, f_s) satisfies the condition

$$\alpha(f_r x, f_s y) + cd(x, y) \le \alpha(x, y), \tag{3}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then the family of mappings has a unique common fixed point, which is also the unique fixed point of each f_r .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$, and construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ iteratively by $x_{n+1} = f_r(x_n)$, that is, $x_{n+1} = f_r^n(x_0)$ for some fixed $r \in [0,1]$. Define $u_n = \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ From (2), we have $\alpha(f_r x_n, f_r x_{n+1}) + cd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1})$, which implies $cd(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq u_n - u_{n+1}$. Thus, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is non-increasing and bounded below by γ_0 , meaning that $\{u_n\}$ converges.

To show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, we sum the inequality

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{u_n - u_{n+1}}{c}$$

over n and obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{u_0 - \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n}{c} \le \frac{u_0 - \gamma_0}{c}$$

This implies that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. By the completeness of X, there exists a limit point $z_r \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = f_r^n x_0 = z_r$. Also, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_r^k x_n = z_r$ for each integer $k \ge 1$ and $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r^k x_n = z_r$.

Suppose f_r is k-asymptotically continuous. Since $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r^k x_n = z_r$, k-asymptotic continuity of f_r implies $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r(f_r^k x_n) = f_r(z_r)$. This implies $f_r(z_r) = z_r$, since $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r(f_r^k x_n) = \lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r^{k+1}(z_r) = z_r$. Therefore, z_r is a fixed point of f_r .

Next, suppose that f_r is weakly orbitally continuous. Since $f_r^n x_0$ converges for each $x_0 \in X$, weak orbital continuity of f_r implies that there exists $y_0 \in X$ such

that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_r^n y_0 = z_r$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_r(f_r^n y_0) = f_r z_r$ for some z_r in X. This implies $z_r = f_r(z_r)$, so z_r is a fixed point of f_r .

Suppose u and v are both fixed points of f_r . Using the inequality (2), we get $\alpha(f_r u, f_r v) + cd(u, v) \leq \alpha(u, v)$, which simplifies to $cd(u, v) \leq 0$ since $f_r u = u$ and $f_r v = v$. Therefore, d(u, v) = 0, implying that u = v. Hence, each f_r has a unique fixed point.

Now consider the second part of the theorem. If every pair of mappings f_r and f_s satisfies condition (3), then for the fixed points u_r of f_r and u_s of f_s , we have:

$$\alpha(f_r u_r, f_s u_s) + cd(u_r, u_s) \le \alpha(u_r, u_s)$$

Since $f_r u_r = u_r$ and $f_s u_s = u_s$, this reduces to $cd(u_r, u_s) \leq 0$, which implies $u_r = u_s$ for all $r, s \in [0, 1]$. Thus, the fixed point is common to both f_r and f_s , and this common fixed point is unique for all the mappings $\{f_r : 0 \leq r \leq 1\}$.

The following proposition is crucial for the proof of the next theorem.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $\{f_r : 0 \leq r \leq 1\}$ be a family of self-mappings on a metric space (X, d). Suppose $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$ is a function such that for each $x, y \in X$, the inequality

$$d(f_r x, f_r y) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(f_r x) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(f_r y), \tag{4}$$

holds. Then, for each $x \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{f_r^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_1 = f_r x_0, x_2 = f_r x_1, \ldots, x_n = f_r x_{n-1}$, i.e., $x_n = f_r^n x_0$. Then,

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(f_r x_0, f_r x_1) \le \varphi(x_0) - \varphi(f_r x_0) + \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(f_r x_1) = \varphi(x_0) - \varphi(x_1) + \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2) = \varphi(x_0) - \varphi(x_2).$$

Similarly,

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_3),$$

$$d(x_3, x_4) \leq \varphi(x_2) - \varphi(x_4),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \varphi(x_{n-2}) - \varphi(x_n),$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(x_{n-1}) - \varphi(x_{n+1}).$$

Adding these inequalities, we get

$$d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \varphi(x_0) + \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(x_0) + \varphi(x_1).$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \varphi(x_0) + \varphi(x_1)$. This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $\{f_r : 0 \leq r \leq 1\}$ be a family of self-mappings on a complete metric space (X, d). Suppose $\varphi : X \to [0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying (4). If f_r is kasymptotically continuous for some integer $k \geq 1$ or f_r is weakly orbitally continuous, then f_r has a unique fixed point. Moreover, if every pair of mappings (f_r, f_s) satisfies the condition

$$d(f_r x, f_s y) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(f_r x) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(f_s y), \tag{5}$$

then the mappings have a unique common fixed point, which is also the unique fixed point of each f_r .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ recursively by $x_n = f_r x_{n-1}$, i.e., $x_n = f_r^n x_0$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. By Proposition 2.2, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists some $z_r \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = z_r$. Additionally, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_r^k x_n = z_r$ for each integer $k \ge 1$, and $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r^k x_n = z_r$.

Suppose f_r is k-asymptotically continuous. Then, since $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r^k x_n = z_r$, k-asymptotic continuity implies $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r(f_r^k x_n) = f_r(z_r)$. This implies $z_r = f_r(z_r)$, since $\lim_{k,n\to\infty} f_r^{k+1} x_n = z_r$. Therefore, z_r is a fixed point of f_r .

Next, suppose f_r is weakly orbitally continuous. Since the sequence $f_r^n x_0$ converges for each $x_0 \in X$, weak orbital continuity of f_r implies that there exists $y_0 \in X$ such that $f_r^n y_0 \to z_r$ and $f_r(f_r^n y_0) \to f_r z$ for some $z_r \in X$. This implies that $z_r = f_r z_r$.

If u and v are fixed points of f_r , then using (4), we get

$$d(u,v) = d(f_r u, f_r v) \le \varphi(u) - \varphi(f_r u) + \varphi(v) - \varphi(f_r v) = 0.$$

Therefore, u = v, implying that f_r has a unique fixed point.

Moreover, if u_r and u_s are the fixed points of f_r and f_s respectively, then by (5), we get

$$l(u_r, u_s) = d(f_r u_r, f_s u_s) \le \varphi(u_r) - \varphi(f_r u_r) + \varphi(u_s) - \varphi(f_s u_s) = 0.$$

Hence, $u_r = u_s$ and each mapping f_r has a unique fixed point, which is the unique common fixed point for the entire family of mappings.

We now give an example to illustrate the above theorem.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let X = [0, 2] and let d be the Euclidean metric. Define $f_r : X \to X$, $0 \le r \le 1$, by

$$f_r(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \le 1, \\ r[2-x] & \text{if } 1 < x \le 2. \end{cases}$$

where [a] denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to the non-negative real number a.

Also, let $\varphi: X \to [0,\infty)$ be defined by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1-x & \text{if } x \le 1, \\ 1+x & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the mappings f_r satisfy all the conditions of the above theorem and have a unique common fixed point x = 1, which is also the unique fixed point of each mapping. The mapping f_r is discontinuous at the fixed point for $0 \le r < 1$. Moreover, f_r^2 is continuous for each r, and f_r is 2-continuous for each r; hence, f_r is k-asymptotically continuous.

The following theorems demonstrate that the well-known fixed point theorems for families of mappings by Banach, Kannan [10], Chatterjea [5], and Ćirić [6] are special

cases of Theorem 2.3 (see [12]).

THEOREM 2.5. Suppose $\{f_r : 0 \le r \le 1\}$ is a family of self-mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) that satisfies the Kannan contraction condition, i.e.,

$$d(f_r x, f_r y) \le \frac{\kappa}{2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)], \quad 0 < k < 1,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, for each mapping f_r in the family, the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied and f_r has a unique fixed point.

Proof. For any $x \in X$, we have $d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \leq \frac{k}{2} \left[d(x, f_r x) + d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \right]$. This implies $(2-k)d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \leq kd(x, f_r x)$, that is,

$$\left(\frac{2-k}{k}\right)d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \le d(x, f_r x).$$
(6)

Now, for any $x, y \in X$, we have k

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_r x, f_r y) &\leq \frac{\kappa}{2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)] \\ &= \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)] - \frac{k^2}{4(1-k)} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6), the above inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_r x, f_r y) &\leq \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)] - \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)} [d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) + d(f_r y, f_r^2 y)] \\ &\leq \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)} [d(x, f_r x) - d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) + d(y, f_r y) - d(f_r y, f_r^2 y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define a function $\varphi : X \to [0,\infty)$ by $\varphi(x) = \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)}d(x, f_r x)$ then last inequality gives $d(f_r x, f_r y) \leq \varphi(x) - \varphi(f_r x) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(f_r y)$.

Since $d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \leq d(x, f_r x)$, it follows that $\varphi(f_r x) \leq \varphi(x)$. Therefore, f_r satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3 and possesses a unique fixed point.

The following theorem shows that Chatterjea's theorem can be regarded as a specific case of Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 2.6. Suppose $\{f_r : 0 \le r \le 1\}$ is a family of self-mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) that satisfies the Chatterjea contraction condition, i.e.,

$$d(f_r x, f_r y) \le \frac{\kappa}{2} [d(x, f_r y) + d(y, f_r x)], \quad 0 < k < 1,$$
(7)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, for each mapping f_r in the family, the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied and f_r has a unique fixed point.

Proof. For any $x \in X$, we have

$$d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \le \frac{k}{2} \left[d(x, f_r^2 x) + d(f_r x, f_r x) \right] \le \frac{k}{2} \left[d(x, f_r x) + d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \right].$$

This implies

$$\left(\frac{2-k}{k}\right)d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \le d(x, f_r x).$$
(8)

Now, for any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_r x, f_r y) &\leq \frac{k}{2} [d(x, f_r y) + d(y, f_r x)] \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(f_r x, f_r y) + d(y, f_r y) + d(f_r y, f_r x)]. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$d(f_r x, f_r y) \le \frac{k}{2(1-k)} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)]$$

= $\frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)^2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)] - \frac{k^2}{4(1-k)^2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)].$

Using (8), the above inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_r x, f_r y) &\leq \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)^2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)] - \frac{k^2(2-k)}{4k(1-k)^2} [d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) + d(f_r y, f_r^2 y)] \\ &= \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)^2} [d(x, f_r x) - d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) + d(y, f_r y) - d(f_r y, f_r^2 y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define a function $\varphi: X \to [0,\infty)$ by $\varphi(x) = \frac{k(2-k)}{4(1-k)^2}d(x,f_rx)$ then last inequality gives

$$d(f_r x, f_r y) \le \varphi(x) - \varphi(f_r x) + \varphi(y) - \varphi(f_r y).$$

Since $d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \leq d(x, f_r x)$, it follows that $\varphi(f_r x) \leq \varphi(x)$. Therefore, f_r satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3 and possesses a unique fixed point.

The following theorem establishes that Ćirić fixed point theorem is a particular instance of Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 2.7. Suppose $\{f_r : 0 \le r \le 1\}$ is a family of self-mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) that satisfies the Ciric contraction condition, i.e.,

$$d(f_r x, f_r y) \le k \max\left\{ d(x, y), d(x, f_r x), d(y, f_r y), \frac{d(x, f_r y) + d(y, f_r x)}{2} \right\}, \ 0 < k < 1, \ (9)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, for each mapping f_r in the family, the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied and f_r has a unique fixed point.

Proof. It follows from condition (9) that

$$\frac{1}{k}d(f_r x, f_r^2 x) \le d(x, f_r x) \tag{10}$$

and

 $d(f_rx, f_ry) \le k[d(x, f_rx) + d(y, f_ry) + d(f_rx, f_ry)].$

Inequality (11) gives

$$d(f_r x, f_r y) \le \frac{k}{(1-k)} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)]$$

= $\frac{k}{(1-k)^2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)] - \frac{k^2}{(1-k)^2} [d(x, f_r x) + d(y, f_r y)]$

Utilizing (10) in the above inequality, this yields (4) for $\varphi(x) = \frac{k}{(1-k)^2} d(x, f_r x)$. Therefore, f_r satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3 and possesses a unique fixed

(11)

point. It demonstrates that Theorem 2.3 includes Ćirić's theorem as a specific case. $\hfill\square$

3. Conclusion

We have established new fixed point results on the existence, uniqueness, and convergence for a one-parameter family of mappings, each with a unique fixed point that is the common fixed point of the family. Our results encompass both contractive-type mappings and non-contractive conditions, and include, as special cases, the wellknown fixed-point theorems of Banach, Kannan [10], Chatterjea [5] and Ćirić [6] as well as the results of Gel'man [9] and Pant et al. [12].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors thank the editor and reviewer for their valuable suggestions, which have greatly improved the quality of this paper.

References

- P. S. Bhakta, T. Basu, Some fixed point theorems on metric spaces, J. Indian Math. Soc., 45 (1981), 399–404.
- [2] R. K. Bisht, An overview of the emergence of weaker continuity notions, various classes of contractive mappings and related fixed point theorems, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 25 (2023), 11.
- [3] J. Caristi, W. A. Kirk, Geometric fixed point theory and inwardness conditions, in The Geometry of Metric and Linear Spaces (Michigan State Univ., 1974), Lecture Notes Math., vol. 490, Springer, Berlin, 1975, 74–83.
- [4] J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 215 (1976), 241–251.
- [5] S. Chatterjea, Fixed point theorems, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 25 (1972), 727-730.
- [6] Lj. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 45(2) (1974), 267–273.
- [7] Lj. B. Ćirić, On contraction type mappings, Math. Balkanica 1 (1971), 52-57.
- [8] I. Ekeland, Nonconvex minimization problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (New Series), 1 (1979), 443–474.
- [9] B.D. Gel'man, Caristi's inequality and α-contraction mappings, Funct. Anal. Its Appl., 53 (2019), 224–228.
- [10] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 60 (1968), 71–76.
- [11] A. Pant, R. P. Pant, M. C. Joshi, V. Rakočević, Fixed points of a family of mappings and equivalent characterizations, Filomat 37(5) (2023), 1391–1397.
- [12] R. P. Pant, V. Rakočević, D. Gopal, A. Pant, M. Ram, A general fixed point theorem, Filomat, 35 (2021), 4061–4072.
- [13] A. Pant, R. P. Pant, Fixed points and continuity of contractive maps, Filomat, 31(11) (2017), 3501–3506.
- [14] A. Pant, R. P. Pant, M. C. Joshi, Caristi type and Meir-Keeler type fixed point theorems, Filomat, 33(12) (2019), 3711–3721.
- [15] R. P. Pant, V. Rakočević, Fixed point and periodic point theorems, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 90 (2024), 175–192.

(received 11.09.2024; in revised form 19.01.2025; available online 01.07.2025)

Department of Mathematics, National Defence Academy, Khadakwasla-411023 Pune, IndiaE-mail:ravindra.bisht@yahoo.com ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6333-8127

Department of Mathematics, Uttarakhand Open University, Haldwani, Uttarakhand, IndiaE-mail:arvindbhatt@uou.ac.in
 ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5010-1510

Department of Mathematics, Uttarakhand Open University, Haldwani, Uttarakhand, IndiaE-mail:radhajoshi321@gmail.com ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5069-5621