МАТЕМАТИЧКИ ВЕСНИК
51 (1999), 53–59

UDK 517.521
оригинални научни рад
research paper

SEGMENTS OF EXPONENTIAL SERIES AND REGULARLY VARYING SEQUENCES

Slavko Simić

Abstract. The task of this paper is to investigate asymptotic behavior of segments of exponential series defined as

$$T_\lambda(x) := \sum_{n<\lambda x} \frac{c_n}{n!} x^n, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^+, \quad x \to \infty,$$

where $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ belongs to the set of regularly varying sequences in Karamata sense of arbitrary index. Precise results are obtained.

Introduction

Karamata's class R_α of regularly varying functions with index $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ consists of all functions $a(x)$ representable in the form $a(x) = x^\alpha l(x)$, where $l(x)$ is from the class of so-called slowly varying functions, i.e. defined on positive part of real axis, positive, measurable and satisfying $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{l(sx)}{l(x)} = 1$, for each $s > 0$.

According to [3], we could treat regularly varying sequnece (c_n) of index α as generated from some $a \in R_\alpha$, i.e. $c_n = n^\alpha l(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

After seventy years, Karamat's theory is very well developed and found applications in different parts of analysis. An excellent survey of results could be found in [1] or [5].

For this article we are motivated by papers [2] and [6]. In [2] the authors proved, by probabilistic methods, the following

Proposition 1. *If a bounded sequence (c_n) behaves regularly with index $-\beta$, $\beta \geqslant 0$, then*

$$\exp(-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n!} x^n \sim c_{[x]}, \quad x \to \infty.$$

In [6] we extend this proposition to the following

AMS Subject Classification: 26 A 12

PROPOSITION 2. *If* $\exp P_p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, *where* $P_p(x) = b_p x^p + \cdots$; $b_p > 0$, *is a polynomial with (eventually) non-negative coefficients, then*

$$\exp(-P_p(x)) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n a_n x^n \sim (pb_p)^{\beta} c_{[x^p]}, \qquad x \to \infty; \quad c_0 = 1;$$

for any regularly varying sequence (c_n) *of an arbitrary index* $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$.

Here we are going to show similar (and even more precise) asymptotic relations take place for segments of exponential series cited above.

Results

At the beginning we shall formulate a rather global proposition, showing how the structure of a given power series segment influence the behaviour of another one which involves regularly varying sequences. Namely, let us define

$$S(\lambda, x) := \sum_{n \leqslant \lambda n(x)} a_n x^n, \qquad a_n \geqslant 0, \quad n \in \mathbf{N};$$

where $n(x)$ increases to infinity with x, and an operator T acting on S:

$$TS(\lambda, x) := \sum_{n \leqslant \lambda n(x)} c_n a_n x^n, \qquad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

where $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a regularly varying sequence of index $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$.

THEOREM A. *If there exist* $f, g_1, g_2 \colon \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}^+$, $b_1 \colon (0,1) \to \mathbf{R}^+$, $b_2 \colon (1, \infty) \to \mathbf{R}^+$, *and*

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\ln n(x)}{g_i(x)} = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \tag{A1}$$

such that

$$\frac{S(\lambda, x)}{f(x)} = \begin{cases} O(e^{-b_1(\lambda) g_1(x)}), & 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ A + O(e^{-b_2(\lambda) g_2(x)}), & \lambda > 1, \end{cases} \qquad x \to \infty, \quad A \in \mathbf{R}^+, \tag{A2}$$

then

$$\frac{TS(\lambda, x)}{f(x)} = \begin{cases} o(c_{[n(x)]}), & 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ A c_{[n(x)]}(1 + o(1)), & \lambda > 1, \end{cases} \qquad x \to \infty.$$

Proof. We shall use the following well-known properties of regularly varying functions ([5], pp. 19, 20; [1], p. 52):

For $\alpha > 0$,

$$S_1 : \sup_{t \leqslant y} t^{\alpha} L(t) = y^{\alpha} L(y)(1 + o(1)); \quad \inf_{t \geqslant y} t^{\alpha} L(t) = y^{\alpha} L(y)(1 + o(1)), \ y \to \infty;$$

$$S_2 : \inf_{t \leqslant y} t^{-\alpha} L(t) = y^{-\alpha} L(y)(1 + o(1)); \quad \sup_{t \geqslant y} t^{-\alpha} L(t) = y^{-\alpha} L(y)(1 + o(1)), \ y \to \infty;$$

$$S_3 : c_{[\lambda y]} \sim c_{[\lambda[y]]} \sim \lambda^{\alpha} c_{[y]}, \qquad y \to \infty, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^+, \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{R}.$$

In the sequel we consider a sequence (c_n) generated by some regularly varying function $x^\alpha L(x)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, i.e.

$$c_n = n^\alpha L(n), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}; \quad c_0 = 1; \quad c_{[y]} = [y]^\alpha L([y]).$$

Let α and λ ($\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$) be fixed numbers; then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{TS(\lambda,x)}{c_{[n(x)]}f(x)} &= \frac{1}{f(x)}\sum_{n\leqslant\lambda n(x)}\left(\frac{n}{[n(x)]}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{nL(n)}{[n(x)]L([n(x)])}\right)a_n x^n\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{f(x)}\sup_{n\leqslant\lambda n(x)}\left(\frac{n}{[n(x)]}\right)^{\alpha-1}\sup_{n\leqslant\lambda n(x)}\frac{nL(n)}{[n(x)]L([n(x)])}\sum_{n\leqslant\lambda n(x)}a_n x^n\\ &= \frac{1}{f(x)}O([n(x)]^{|\alpha|+1})O\left(\frac{[\lambda n(x)]L([\lambda n(x)])}{[n(x)]L([n(x)])}\right)O(e^{-b_1(\lambda)g_1(x)})\\ &= O(n(x)^{|\alpha|+1}e^{-b_1(\lambda)g_1(x)}) = O(\exp(-b_1(\lambda)g_1(x))\left(1+O\left(\frac{\ln n(x)}{g_1(x)}\right)\right)\\ &= o(1), \qquad x\to\infty\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the first assertion of Theorem A is proved.

For the second one, let λ and ε ($\lambda > 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < \min(1/2, \lambda - 1)$) be fixed. We get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{TS(\lambda,x)}{c_{[n(x)]}f(x)}\\ &\quad= \frac{1}{c_{[n(x)]}f(x)}\left(\sum_{n\leqslant(1-\varepsilon)n(x)} + \sum_{(1-\varepsilon)n(x)<n\leqslant(1+\varepsilon)n(x)} + \sum_{(1+\varepsilon)n(x)<n\leqslant\lambda n(x)}\right)a_n c_n x^n\\ &\quad= T_1 + T_2 + T_3.\end{aligned}$$

According to the former argument ($\lambda = 1 - \varepsilon < 1$),

$$T_1 = o(1), \qquad x \to \infty. \tag{A3}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}T_3 &\leqslant \frac{1}{f(x)}\sup_{n\leqslant\lambda n(x)}\left(\frac{c_n}{c_{[n(x)]}}\right)\sum_{(1+\varepsilon)n(x)<n\leqslant\lambda n(x)}a_n x^n\\ &= \frac{1}{f(x)}O(n(x)^{|\alpha|+1})(S(\lambda,x) - S(1+\varepsilon,x))\\ &= O(n(x)^{|\alpha|+1})O(\exp(-g_2(x)\min(b_2(1+\varepsilon), b_2(\lambda)))) = o(1), \qquad x\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

To estimate T_2, suppose for the moment that index α of (c_n) is positive. Then, since $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, using properties S_1 and S_3, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{n\leqslant(1+\varepsilon)n(x)} c_n &= c_{[(1+\varepsilon)n(x)]}(1+o(1)) = c_{[n(x)]}(1+\varepsilon)^\alpha(1+o(1))\\ &= c_{[n(x)]}(1+\varepsilon O(1)+o(1)), \qquad x\to\infty;\\ \inf_{n>(1-\varepsilon)n(x)} c_n &= c_{[(1-\varepsilon)n(x)]}(1+o(1)) = c_{[n(x)]}(1-\varepsilon)^\alpha(1+o(1))\\ &= c_{[n(x)]}(1+\varepsilon O(1)+o(1)), \qquad x\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$T_2 \leqslant \frac{1}{f(x)c_{n(x)}} \sup_{n \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)n(x)} c_n \sum_{(1-\varepsilon)n(x) < n \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)n(x)} a_n x^n$$
$$= \frac{1}{f(x)}(1+\varepsilon O(1) + o(1))(S(1+\varepsilon, x) - S(1-\varepsilon, x))$$
$$= (1+\varepsilon O(1) + o(1))(A + o(1)) = A + \varepsilon O(1) + o(1), \quad x \to \infty, \tag{A5}$$

and, similarly,

$$T_2 \geqslant \frac{1}{f(x)c_{[n(x)]}} \inf_{n > (1-\varepsilon)n(x)} c_n \cdot (S(1+\varepsilon, x) - S(1-\varepsilon, x))$$
$$= A + \varepsilon O(1) + o(1), \qquad x \to \infty. \tag{A6}$$

Since the constants in $O(1)$ do not depend on ε and ε can be arbitrarily small, from (A5) and (A6) we conclude that $T_2 \sim A$, $x \to \infty$; this, together with (A3) and (A4), gives the proof of Theorem A for $\alpha > 0$.

For $\alpha < 0$ we deduce the proof similarly, using properties S_2 and S_3.

If $\alpha = 0$, note that $(nL(n))$ is of index 1, hence

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{f(x)L([n(x)])} \sum_{(1-\varepsilon)n(x) < n \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)n(x)} \frac{1}{n} \cdot nL(n) a_n x^n$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{[(1-\varepsilon)n(x)] + 1}[(1+\varepsilon)n(x)](A + o(1)),$$

and

$$T_2 \geqslant \frac{1}{[(1+\varepsilon)n(x)]}[(1-\varepsilon)n(x)](A + o(1)),$$

which shows that in this case also $T_2 \sim A$, $x \to \infty$, and the proof is over. ■

Investigation of possible relationship between $S(\lambda, x)$, $n(x)$ and $f(x)$ satisfying conditions of Theorem A is the subject of our next article. Here we just show that the class of such functions is not empty, i.e. applying results of Theorem A we prove the following

THEOREM B1. *For any regularly varying sequence* $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$, $c_0 = 1$, *of arbitrary index* $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$e^{-x} \sum_{n \leqslant \lambda x} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \sim \begin{cases} o(c_{[x]}), & 0 < \lambda < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} c_{[x]}, & \lambda = 1, \\ c_{[x]}, & \lambda > 1, \end{cases} \qquad x \to \infty.$$

In the neighbourhood of $\lambda = 1$ we prove more precisely:

THEOREM B2.

$$e^{-x} \sum_{n \leqslant x+h(x)} c_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \sim \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \operatorname{Erf}(b/\sqrt{2})\right) c_{[x]}, \quad x \to \infty,$$

where $h(x) := b\sqrt{x}(1+o(1))$, $x \to \infty$; $b \in \mathbf{R}$; $\operatorname{Erf} y := \int_0^y e^{-t^2}\, dt$.

Proof. According to the premises from Theorem A, the proof of cited theorems depends on asymptotic behaviour of the sum $\sum_{k\leqslant n} \frac{x^k}{k!}$, $n = n(x) \to \infty$. Therefore, we derive its integral representation which is more easy to estimate.

$$S(n,x) := \sum_{k\leqslant n} \frac{x^k}{k!} = \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} \sum_{k\leqslant n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{k!}{x^{k+1}} = \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} \sum_{k\leqslant n} \binom{n}{k} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} t^k\, dt,$$

i.e.

$$S(n,x) = \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt}(1+t)^n\, dt. \tag{B0}$$

For $n = [\lambda x]$ we obtain

$$e^{-x}\frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} \sim \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} e^{n\ln x - n\ln n + n - x} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} e^{-x(\frac{n}{x}\ln\frac{n}{x} + 1 - \frac{n}{x})}, \quad x \to \infty. \tag{B1}$$

But

$$\lambda - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{\lambda x - 1}{x} < \frac{n}{x} = \frac{[\lambda x]}{x} \leqslant \frac{\lambda x}{x} = \lambda,$$

i.e. $\frac{n}{x} = \lambda - \frac{\theta}{x}$, $\theta \in [0,1)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{n}{x}\ln\frac{n}{x} + 1 - \frac{n}{x} = \lambda\ln\lambda + 1 - \lambda - \frac{\theta}{x}\ln\lambda + 0\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right), \quad x \to \infty,$$

i.e. ((B1))

$$e^{-x}\frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} = O(\sqrt{x}\, e^{-(\lambda\ln\lambda + 1 - \lambda)x}), \qquad n = [\lambda x], \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^+, \quad x \to \infty. \tag{B2}$$

Since $\ln(1+t) < t$, $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$, for $0 < \lambda < 1$, $n = [\lambda x]$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-xt}(1+t)^n\, dt < \int_0^\infty e^{-(x-n)}\, dt = \frac{1}{x-n} \sim \frac{1}{x(1-\lambda)}, \quad x \to \infty.$$

Along with (B2) this gives the estimate

$$e^{-x} S([\lambda x], x) = e^{-x} \sum_{k\leqslant \lambda x} \frac{x^k}{k!} = O(e^{-(\lambda\ln\lambda + 1 - \lambda)x}), \qquad \lambda \in (0,1), \quad x \to \infty. \tag{B3}$$

For $l > 1$, change of variable $1 + t \mapsto t$ gives

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-xt}(1+t)^n\, dt = e^x \int_1^\infty e^{-xt} t^n\, dt = e^x \left(\int_0^\infty - \int_0^1\right) e^{-xt} t^n\, dt = e^x(I_1 + I_2),$$

and, obviously, $I_1 = \dfrac{n!}{x^{n+1}}$, $|I_2| = O\left(\dfrac{e^{-x}}{x}\right)$, which, together with (B0) and (B2) gives

$$e^{-x}S([\lambda x], x) = 1 + O(e^{-x(\lambda\ln\lambda+1-\lambda)}), \qquad \lambda\in(1,\infty), \quad x\to\infty. \tag{B4}$$

Note that $b(\lambda) := \lambda\ln\lambda - \lambda + 1$ is non-negative and convex on $\lambda\in(0,+\infty)$, $b(0^+) = 1$, $b(1) = 0$, $b(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda\neq 1$, and

$$b(\lambda) > \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)^2, & \lambda\in(0,1),\\ \frac{1}{2}\ln^2\lambda, & \lambda\in(1,+\infty).\end{cases}$$

Comparing (B3) and (B4) with assertions from Theorem A, we see that conditions (A1), (A2) are satisfied with

$$f(x) = e^x,\ \ n(x) = g_1(x) = g_2(x) = x,\ \ b_1(\lambda) = \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{2},\ \ b_2(\lambda) = \frac{\ln^2\lambda}{2},\ \ A = 1,$$

from which follows the validity of the first and the third assertion from Theorem B1.

To prove Theorem B2, chnage variable in (B0): $1+t\mapsto \frac{n}{x}(1+\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}})$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-x}S(n,x) &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{x}\cdot\frac{x^{n+1}}{n!}\cdot e^{-x}\int_{\sqrt{n}(\frac{x}{n}-1)}^{\infty} e^{-n+x}\left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^n e^{-\sqrt{n}\,t+n\ln(1+\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}})}\,dt\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n!}n^n e^{-n}\Big(\int_0^{\infty} + \int_{\sqrt{n}(\frac{x}{n}-1)}^{0}\Big)e^{-(\sqrt{n}\,t-n\ln(1+\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}))}\,dt. \end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

Denote the first integral in (B5) by J_1 and the second by J_2 and let

$$g(n,t) := \sqrt{n}\,t - n\ln\left(1+\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \qquad t\geqslant 0, \quad n\in\mathbf{N}.$$

From the facts:

I: $g(n,t)$ is monotone increasing on n.

Proof. $0\leqslant\int_1^{1+t/\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{2s}(\sqrt{s}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}})^2\,ds = \frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{1}{s}) - \ln s\big|_1^{1+t/\sqrt{n}} = g_n'(n,t)$;

II: $\lim_{n\to\infty} g(n,t) = \frac{t^2}{2}$, $t\in\mathbf{R}^+$;

III: For $n = [x+h(x)]$ follows $\sqrt{n}(\frac{x}{n}-1)\to -b$, $x\to\infty$;

using Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence, we have:

$$J_1 \to \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2}\,dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}; \tag{B6}$$

$$J_2 \to \int_{-b}^{0} e^{-t^2/2}\,dt = \sqrt{2}\int_0^{b/\sqrt{2}} e^{-t^2}\,dt = \sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Erf}(b/\sqrt{2}). \tag{B7}$$

Since

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n!}\cdot n^n e^{-n} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \qquad n\to\infty,$$

from (B5), (B6), (B7) and Theorem A, the assertion of Theorem B2 follows.

Putting $b = 0$ we obtain the sedond proposition from Theorem B1. ∎

REFERENCES

[**1**] Bingham, N. H., Goldie, C. M., Teugels, J. L., *Rgeular Variation*, Camb. Univ. Press, 1989.

[**2**] Bingham, N. H., Hawkes, J., *Some limit theorems for occupation times*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, **79**, CUP, 1983, 46–62.

[**3**] Bojanic, R., Seneta, E., *A unified theory of regularly varying sequences*, MZ **134** (1973).

[**4**] Karamata, J., *Sur un mode de croissance reguliere. Theoremes fondamenteaux*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **61** (1933).

[**5**] Seneta, E., *Functions of Regular Variations*, Lecture Notes in Math. **508**, Springer, 1976.

[**6**] Simic, S., *Entire functions of finite order and regularly varying sequences*, MZ (to appear)

(received 30.12.1998.)

Baba Višnjina 34, 11000 Beograd, Yugoslavia

E-mail: ssimic@turing.mi.sanu.ac.yu